SECRET

ID/884-1904-72 21 June 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director, Office of Planning, Programming & Budgeting
ATTENTION:	Chief, Planning Staff
SUBJECT:	Braft Memorandum on Combined PFIAB Report and

- 1. In compliance with your request, we have reviewed the dreft memorandum. It is our opinion this draft contains several deficiencies which should be altered prior to issuance of the action membrandum. Chief among these is that you are issuing two distinct and different sets of instructions for the same report. There is both confusion and redundancy in this dual approach. To try to do both things at the same time, siming for a single report would be most confusing. A consolidation of these instructions to suffice both requirements would seem the better approach.
  - 2. Specific comments are as rollows:
  - e. Tab A; Part II: Reporting is arrayed in what is assumed to be the new Agency Category/Subcategory/Element Structure. The SAT offices have not been emposed to this change and a crosswalk will have to be constructed to usher them from the structure used in the Budget and the Program to this new array. To the best of our knowledge, no crosswalk has been devised to date; in fact, the current exercise is using the emisting formet.
  - b. Tab B, Page 2, Pare 2: If this memorandum is to be circulated in its present format (as opposed to consolidation with the O/PPB memorandum), the first sentence of paragraph 2 should be changed to read "--the framework of the outline provided in Tab A of the instructions from OPPB deted as sugmented by items 10 and 11 of this memorandum---"

25X1

25X1

DD/S&T FHE-2COPY

## SECRET

SUBJECT: Draft Memorandum on Combined FIAB Report and Agency History

- c. The E, Page 7, first sentence: The suggested limit of "10 to 20 pages appropriate to an annual report" would seem far too few for a Mirectorate report. Some of our offices may exceed this length. This item should be clarified.
- A. The B, Page S, Item 10, Chronologies and Item 11, key becoments: These items lavy added requirements on the reporting office, not mentioned in the G/PPB menorandom or previously mentioned in the Historian's memorandom. These are important, time communing aspects of the report and should not be handled as after thoughts. Consolidation of the memorando, as supported in perspectal of this memorandom, while provide an easy memor to overcome this shortcoming.
- 3. General comments on the Graft Include:
- judgment which varies greatly with individuals. As an example, on page 6 of Tab B the memorandom states, "Contributors are expected to judge objectively what constitutes accomplishment, employing criteria appropriate to the activity." Within the DR/SRT offices we would receive a variety of responses to this requirement. They would range from succinct understatements to detailed reports glarifying the smallest achievements. Nore definitive instructions are needed if balanced, accurate reporting is to be received.
- b. In last year's FFIAB exercise, ORD and OC3 were handled separately. The former by the MAD coordinator and the latter by the Information Processing Coordinator. We assume from the C that all SMF offices are to be given similar treatment this year but request confirmation of this assumption.

LEGIB	from staffing the draft as thoroughly as would have been our desire. I feel sure some further constructive comments could have been culted from applicable people in our offices.		
		Directorate of	
		Science and Technology	

Ш

SECRET

25X1